Brainwave Science, Inc. v. Arshee et al (21-cv-4402 (BMC)

EXHIBIT A

to Affidavit of Paul F. Tomkins

```
Case 1:21-cv-04402-BMC-RER Document 66-3 Filed 05/26/22 Rage 2 of 8 Page 10 Page 38 Page 10 Page 38
  1
    research. So that was an academic research
  2
    collaboration between myself and professors and grad
  3
    students at the university there -- University of
    Canterbury in Christchurch, New Zealand.
  4
  5
               prior to that August or September 2019
  6
    trip, had you personally visited the University of
  7
    Canterbury?
               I believe I had -- I had two trips. I'm
  8
    not sure that they were -- I don't remember the
 10
    dates, but I believe that there were a couple of
 11
    trips. But that -- that one trip was for something
    like a month. It was -- it was quite extensive.
 12
 13
          Q.
               In 2019, did you provide the University of
    Canterbury with a soft -- strike that.
 14
 15
               Do you have a -- do you have a -- an
 16
    executable version of your program which you allow
 17
    potential clients and research institutions to
    utilize?
 18
 19
          Α.
               Yes.
 20
          Q.
               And without divulging any trade secrets,
 21
    what -- what can we call that? What is your
```

22

- assigned name for that program?
- 23 It's called the Farwell Brain Α. 24 Fingerprinting Program. There are several versions. 25 So that -- that name is not exclusive, but for now,

I think that will work.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

And have you provided the University of Canterbury with a working copy of this program?

- Well, I went to the University of Α. Canterbury, and I conducted research there using that program on a system that I owned and still own. And it is my personal -- my personal system myself as a -- as a researcher. And so I conducted research there.
- The graduate students there assisted me in that research, so they had hands on experience using my system. That -- that system remains there, and I have since that time, allowed them to continue to use it.
- So you've not conveyed the system to the university, but -- but they're in possession of it. Would that be fair?
- Well, I would say individuals who are affiliated with the university are in possession of it. The university as an institution is not in possession of it. It -- it is -- it is over there, and it is -- has been used, and I -- I believe may -23 - may continue to be used by the students there in conducting their research.
 - The improvements, assistance, Q.

modifications, however you want to characterize Dr. 1 Maison's efforts, but -- but the assistance Dr. 3 Maison provided you with, was that incorporated in the version of the software which you left at the 5 university for their continued use in late 2019? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Have you taken any efforts to ask that Q. 8 they return your system to you? 9 Yes. Α. 10 What specifically have you done? Q. Recently, I'd say within the past month, I 11 12 wrote a letter to the primary professor there, who's 13 name is Robin Palmer, P-A-L-M-E-R, and I informed him of the goings on in this -- in this lawsuit. 14 15 And I informed him of the - - I -- I informed him of 16 the specific wording of the court order with respect 17 to recall or replace. 18 But it's -- it's your software. Did you Q. 19 ask that they return it to you? 20 Α. No. It's my -- it's my --21 Why not? Q. 22 -- well, because it's -- it's my -- it's 23 my system. I can do what I like with it. If I want 24 -- if I want it to be used by -- by my next door

neighborhood here, my next door neighborhood here

25

```
can use it. If -- if I want to allow grad students
 1
   in -- in Canterbury to use it, I can allow them to
 3
   use it.
             I informed them of the -- of the wording
 4
 5
   of the court order, and if -- if they believe that
   they are bound by that to send me system, they can
 7
   do so. If they believe that they are bound to do
   anything else, that -- then they can do so.
   don't have the ability to force them to do anything.
10
             Did you provide them with a copy of the
        Q.
   court order?
11
12
             THE REPORTER: I apologize for the
13
   interruption. May you repeat that question, counsel?
14
             MR. TOMKINS:
                           Certainly.
15
   BY MR. TOMKINS:
16
             Dr. Farwell, did you provide your contact
17
   at the University of Canterbury with a copy of the
   court's order?
18
19
             No, I did not. However, I believe that
   you or that -- that the you, Mr. Tomkins, did
21
   provide them with a court order because if I recall
22
   correctly, we had email correspondence about it
   myself and Professor Palmer wherein he -- he had the
23
24
   actual court order in his possession. And if -- if
25
   that's not the case, then I was remised by not
```

```
providing the -- the court -- the full document of
 1
   the court order, and I'll do so.
 2
 3
        Q.
             But you didn't ask to confirm -- you
   didn't ask that they return the -- the program to
 5
   you; is that correct?
 6
        Α.
             That -- that is correct. I didn't ask
 7
   they return the program to -- to me. First -- first
   of all, I - - I'm free to do anything I want with my
 8
   own personal system. Second, the court order
10
   doesn't require me to ask them to return it to me
   because it -- it specifies that -- it specifies
11
   recall or replace insofar as it's commercially
12
13
   viable or commercially practicable.
             And Professor Palmer, as a law professor,
14
   knows more than I do about the degree to which that
15
16
   court order require them to put my computer and the
17
   associated software in the mail, and currently, they
18
   didn't feel that that was -- that was called for.
19
             Furthermore, the order specify -- the
   order defines the plaintiff's confidential
21
   proprietary information as any part of the code that
22
   BWS, that plaintiff, submitted to Codequiry for
23
   comparison. I do not have that code.
24
             So in the absence of my actually having
```

that code or -- or some independent testing party,

25

```
1
   document and -- and it so states there on the first
 2
   page.
 3
        Q.
             Where did that image referring to the FBI
   come from, Dr. Farwell?
 4
 5
             Where did the image come from?
 6
        Q.
            Right.
 7
             It's -- it's a -- it's a publicly
 8
   available source on -- on the internet. I don't
   remember exactly where.
10
             But you drafted the entirety of this
        Q.
11
   document; is that correct, then, Dr. Farwell?
             That is correct. I drafted it.
12
        Α.
13
   submitted it to the FBI. That's correct.
14
        Q.
             Okay.
15
        A. And it --
16
            And --
        Q.
17
            And it so -- and it so states.
        Α.
18
             So you forwarded this to the FBI, and you
        Q.
19
   forwarded this to the court in conjunction with this
20
   affidavit; is that correct --
21
        A. Correct.
22
             -- Dr. Farwell?
        Q.
23
             Where else did you forward this document
24
   to?
25
             I -- I can answer that question. However,
        Α.
```

```
I am not sure I can answer that question from --
 1
   from memory. I probably forwarded it to Robin
 3
   Parsons who we've spoken to earlier -- spoken about
   earlier today.
 4
 5
             I probably forwarded it to -- well,
 6
   actually beyond that, I'm not sure. But I -- I -- I
 7
   can look it up and I can give you an accurate answer
   to that, but -- but that's the only -- the only
   place I recall forwarding it to. But I probably
10
   forwarded it to -- to others who had an interest.
11
        Q.
             Who else would've had an interest, Dr.
   Farwell?
12
13
        Α.
             Well, it's very likely that FSL Delhi had
   an interest.
14
15
             And who is Robin Parsons?
16
             Robin Parsons, we discussed earlier today.
17
   He is a professor at the University of Canterbury in
18
   New Zealand. He's a law professor.
19
             And Doctor, for clarification purposes,
        Ο.
   when I ask who did you forward this document to, I'm
   -- I'm not simply asking who did you physically hand
21
22
   this document to or send to -- the document to via
23
   email, have you also provided links to any entities
24
   or persons so they may also have access to this
25
   document?
```